

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,820	09/12/2003	Huy D. Phan	2024729-7032372001	7044	
7590 04/04/2006		•	EXAMINER		
Bingham McCutchen, LLP Suite 1800			VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
Three Embarca	dero		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			3739	_	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Befo	re the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/660,820	PHAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Peter J. Vrettakos	3739

Advisory Action	10/660,820	PHAN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of the standard of t	on fee under 3/ as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set fo), to avoid dismissal (orth in 37 CFR 41.37(of the appeal. (a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):	timely filed emends	mont canceling			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:)	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears only and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant to See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	alls to provide a)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	iched.			
11 M The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	W Hillian			
13. U Other:		ROY D. GIB	SON			
PV		PRIMARY EXA	≯MINEH			
•						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant requests a consistent interpretation throughout the action of the multi-piece ablative element. The Offiice will not oblige as doing so would lead to an unfair advantage to the Applicant. Were the Greene ablative element (40-46-42) meant to be contrued as one part, the inventor would have enumerated the element with one number. However, Greene implicitly introduced flexibility into potential apprehensions of the claims by making the ablative element made up of 3 disparate parts and numbers each alone potentially an ablative electrode by their metallic nature and connection to an electrical source, or each together to make up one ablative element.